arXiv:math/0303001v7 [math.NT] 21 Oct 2005

NON-ISOGENOUS SUPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

YURI G. ZARHIN

Abstract. Let ℓ be an odd prime. Let K be a field of characteristic zero with algebraic closure K_a. Let $n, m \geq 4$ be integers that are not divisible by ℓ. Let $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ be irreducible separable polynomials of degree n and m respectively. Suppose that the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ of f acts doubly transitively on the set $\mathfrak{R}_f$ of roots of f and that $\mathrm{Gal}(h)$ acts doubly transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_h$ as well. Let $J(C_{f,\ell})$ and $J(C_{h,\ell})$ be the Jacobians of the superelliptic curves $C_{f,\ell} : y^\ell = f(x)$ and $C_{h,\ell} : y^\ell = h(x)$ respectively. We prove that $J(C_{f,\ell})$ and $J(C_{h,\ell})$ are not isogenous over K_a if the splitting fields of f and h are linearly disjoint over $K(\zeta_\ell)$.

1. Definitions, notations, statements

Let K be a field. Let us fix its algebraic closure K_a and denote by $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Aut}(K_a/K)$ of K. If $L \supset K$ is an overfield of K and L_a contains K_a (i.e., K_a is the algebraic closure of K in L_a) then K_a is $\mathrm{Aut}(L_a/L)$-stable and we write

$$\mathrm{res}(L,K) : \mathrm{Gal}(L) = \mathrm{Aut}(L_a/L) \to \mathrm{Aut}(K_a/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(K)$$

for the corresponding restriction map. If X is an abelian variety over K_a then we write $\mathrm{End}(X)$ for the ring of all its K_a-endomorphisms; 1_X stands for the identity automorphism of X. If Y is an abelian variety over K_a then we write $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)$ for the (free commutative) group of all K_a-homomorphisms from X to Y. It is well-known that $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}(Y,X) = 0$. If X is defined over K then $X(K_a)$ carries a natural structure of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-module. One may also view X as an abelian variety over L; the subgroup $X(K_a) \subset X(L_a)$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(L)$-stable and the corresponding homomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X(K_a))$ is the composition of $\mathrm{res}(L,K) : \mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Gal}(K)$ and the structure homomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X(K_a))$.

Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 4$ without multiple roots. We write $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$ for the set of its roots, $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_a$ for the splitting field of f and $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathrm{Aut}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ for the Galois group of f. Then $\mathfrak{R}_f$ consists of $n = \deg(f)$ elements. The group $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ permutes elements of $\mathfrak{R}_f$ and therefore can be identified with a certain subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ of all permutations of $\mathfrak{R}_f$. Clearly, every ordering of $\mathfrak{R}_f$ provides an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and the full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_n$ which makes $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ a certain subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_n$. (This permutation subgroup is transitive if and only if f is irreducible over K.)

Let ℓ be an odd prime. We write $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell]$ for the ring of all integers in the ℓth cyclotomic ring $\mathbf{Q}[\zeta_\ell]$.

Let us assume that $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq \ell$ and consider the superelliptic curve

$$C_{f,\ell} : y^\ell = f(x),$$

defined over K. Its genus $g = g(C_{f,\ell})$ equals $(n-1)(\ell-1)/2$ if ℓ does not divide n and $(n-2)(\ell-1)/2$ if $\ell \mid n$. Let $J(C_{f,\ell})$ be the Jacobian of C_f; it is a g-dimensional abelian variety over K_a that is defined over K. Then $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,\ell}))$ contains a certain subring isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell]$ (see Sect. 3.2).

The main result of the present paper is the following statement.

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). *Suppose that K is a field of characteristic different from ℓ that contains a primitive ℓth root of unity. Let $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ be separable irreducible polynomials of degree $n \geq 4$ and $m \geq 4$ respectively. Suppose that the splitting fields of f and h are linearly disjoint over K.*

Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) *The group $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acts doubly transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_f$; if ℓ divides n then this action is 3-transitive.*
- (ii) *The group $\mathrm{Gal}(h)$ acts doubly transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_h$; if ℓ divides n then this action is 3-transitive.*

Then either

$$\mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{f,\ell}), J(C_{h,\ell})) = 0, \quad \mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{h,\ell}), J(C_{f,\ell})) = 0$$

or $p := \mathrm{char}(K) > 0$ and there exists an abelian variety Z defined over an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ of $\mathbf{F}_p$ such that both $J(C_{f,\ell})$ and $J(C_{h,\ell})$ are isogenous over K_a to self-products of Z.

Remark 1.2. *The case $\ell = 2$ (of hyperelliptic Jacobians) was treated in* [26, 27]. *See* [10, 3] *for the list of known doubly transitive permutation groups.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we study pairs of abelian varieties with homomorphism groups of big rank. We prove Theorem 1.1 in §4. Sections 5 and 6 contain the proof of some auxiliary results.

I am grateful to the referee, whose comments helped to improve the exposition.

2. Homomorphisms of abelian varieties: statements

First, we need to introduce some notions from the theory of abelian varieties. Let K be a field and d be a positive integer that is not divisible by $\mathrm{char}(K)$. Let X be an abelian variety of positive dimension defined over K. We write X_d for the kernel of multiplication by d in $X(K_a)$. The commutative group X_d is a free $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank $2\dim(X)$ [11]. Clearly, X_d is a Galois submodule in $X(K_a)$. We write

$$\tilde{\rho}_{d,X} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}}(X_d) \cong \mathrm{GL}(2\dim(X), \mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z})$$

for the corresponding (continuous) homomorphism defining the Galois action on X_d. Let us put

$$\tilde{G}_{d,X} = \tilde{\rho}_{d,X}(\mathrm{Gal}(K)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}}(X_d).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{G}_{d,X}$ coincides with the Galois group of the field extension $K(X_d)/K$ where $K(X_d)$ is the field of definition of all points of order dividing d on X. In particular, if $\ell \neq \mathrm{char}(K)$ is a prime then X_ℓ is a $2\dim(X)$-dimensional vector space over the prime field $\mathbf{F}_\ell = \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$ and the inclusion $\tilde{G}_{\ell,X} \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_\ell}(X_\ell)$ defines a faithful linear representation of the group $\tilde{G}_{\ell,X}$ in the vector space X_ℓ.

We write $\mathrm{End}_K(X) \subset \mathrm{End}(X)$ for the (sub)ring of all K-endomorphisms of X and $\mathrm{End}_K^0(X) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(X)$ for the corresponding $\mathbf{Q}$-(sub)algebra of all K-endomorphisms of X. If Y is an abelian variety over K then we write $\mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y)$ for the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Let E be a number field and $\mathcal{O} \subset E$ be the ring of all its algebraic integers. Let (X,i) be a pair consisting of an abelian variety X over K_a and an embedding

$$i : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X)$$

such that $i(1) = 1_X$. The degree $[E : \mathbf{Q}]$ divides $2\mathrm{dim}(X)$ (see [14]).

If r is a positive integer then we write $i^{(r)}$ for the composition

$$E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(X^r)$$

of i and the diagonal inclusion $\mathrm{End}^0(X) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(X^r)$.

If (Y,j) is a pair consisting of an abelian variety Y over K_a and an embedding $j : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(Y)$ with $j(1) = 1_Y$ then we write

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) = \{u \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y) \mid ui(e) = j(e)u \quad \forall u \in E\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$ carries a natural structure of finite-dimensional E-vector space. Notice that the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y)$ carries a natural structure of $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$-module defined by the formula

$$(e_1 \otimes e_2)\phi = j(e_1)\phi i(e_2) \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in E, \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y).$$

Remark 2.1. *It is well-known that if the field extension $E/\mathbf{Q}$ is normal then for each automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(E) = \mathrm{Gal}(E/Q)$ there is a surjective E-algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{pr}_\sigma : E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E \twoheadrightarrow E, e_1 \otimes e_2 \mapsto e_1\sigma(e_2).$$

(Here the structure of E-algebra on $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$ is defined by

$$e(e_1 \otimes e_2) = ee_1 \otimes e_2 \quad \forall e, e_1, e_2 \in E.$$

The well-known E-linear independence of all $\sigma : E \to E$ implies that the direct sum of all pr_σ's is an isomorphism

$$\oplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbf{Q})}\mathrm{pr}_\sigma : E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbf{Q})}E.$$

This allows us to view pr_σ as mutually orthogonal projection maps $\mathrm{pr}_\sigma : E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E \to E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$, whose sum is the identity map. Also, the annihilator of $\sigma(e) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes e$ in $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$ coincides with the image $\mathrm{pr}_\sigma : E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E$ of pr_σ.

This implies easily that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j\sigma)) = \mathrm{pr}_\sigma(\mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y) = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbf{Q})}\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i\sigma),(Y,j)) \tag{1}$$

where $i\sigma : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X)$ is the composition of the automorphism $\sigma : E \to E$ and $i : E \to \mathrm{End}^0(X)$.

Let us denote by $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ the centralizer of $i(E)$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i) = \mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(X,i))$ and $i(E)$ lies in the center of the finite-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$. It follows that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ carries a natural structure of finite-dimensional E-algebra. One may easily check [27, Remark 4.1] that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a semisimple

E-algebra; it is simple if and only if X is isogenous to a self-product of an (absolutely) simple abelian variety. The following two assertions are contained in [27, Theorem 4.2], [28, Remark 3.2].

Theorem 2.2. $\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0((X,i)) \le \frac{4\cdot\dim(X)^2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that*

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0((X,i)) = \frac{4\cdot\dim(X)^2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}.$$

Then:

- (i) $\mathrm{End}^0((X,i)$ *is a central simple* E*-algebra.*
- (ii) *There exists an absolutely simple abelian variety* B *of CM-type over* K_a *such that* X *is isogenous to a self-product of* B*.*
- (iii) *If* $\mathrm{char}(K)=0$ *then* $[E:\mathbf{Q}]$ *is even and there exist a* $[E:\mathbf{Q}]/2$*-dimensional abelian variety* Z *over* K_a*, an isogeny* $\psi: Z^r \to X$ *and an embedding* $k: E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(Z)$ *that send* 1 *to* 1_Z *and such that* $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}^0((Z^r,k^{(r)}),(X,i))$*.*

Remark 2.4. *Suppose that*

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0((X,i)) = \frac{4\cdot\dim(X)^2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}$$

and $\mathrm{char}(K)>0$*. In notations of Theorem 2.3, it follows from a a theorem of Grothendieck* [12, Th. 1.1] *that* B *is isogenous to an abelian variety defined over a finite field. This implies that* X *is also isogenous to an abelian variety defined over a finite field.*

If $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}(X)$ and $j(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}(Y)$ then we put

$$\mathrm{Hom}((X,i),(Y,j)) = \{u \in \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) \mid ui(e)=j(e)u \quad \forall u \in E\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) = \mathrm{Hom}((X,i),(Y,j)) \otimes \mathbf{Q},$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}((X,i),(Y,j)) = \mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \bigcap \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y),$$

which is an $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in the E-vector space $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$.

Remark 2.5. *There are canonical isomorphisms of* E*-vector spaces*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X^r,i^{(r)}),(Y,j)) = (\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)))^r = \mathrm{Hom}^0((X^r,i),(Y,j^{(r)}))$$

where $(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)))^r$ *is a direct sum of* r *copies of* $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$*. It follows easily that there is a canonical isomorphism of* E*-vector spaces*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X^r,i^{(r)}),(Y,j^{(m)})) = (\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)))^{rm}$$

for all positive integers r *and* m*.*

Lemma 2.6. (i) $\dim_E(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))) \le \frac{4\cdot\dim(X)\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}$;

(ii) *If* $\dim(X)=\dim(Y)$ *and*

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))) = \frac{4\cdot\dim(X)\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}$$

then $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$ *contains an isogeny* $\phi: X \to Y$*. In particular,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) = \phi\cdot\mathrm{End}^0(X,i),\ \mathrm{End}^0(Y,j) = \phi\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)\phi^{-1}$$

and

$$\dim_E \mathrm{End}^0(Y,j) = \dim_E \mathrm{End}^0(X,i) = \dim_E \mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) =$$

$$\frac{4\dim(X)^2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2} = \frac{4\dim(Y)^2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}.$$

of Lemma 2.6. Let us fix a prime $\ell \ne \mathrm{char}(K)$. Let us put

$$E_\ell := E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell.$$

Clearly, E_ℓ is a direct sum of finitely many ℓ-adic fields.

Let $T_\ell(X)$ be the $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-Tate module of X [11]. Recall that $T_\ell(X)$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-module of rank $2\dim(X)$. Let us put

$$V_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell;$$

it is a $2\dim(X)$-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector space. There are natural embeddings

$$\mathrm{End}^0(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} V_\ell(X),\ \mathrm{End}^0(Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} V_\ell(Y),$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y)).$$

Now the injections i and j give rise to the injections

$$E_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} V_\ell(X),\ E_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} V_\ell(Y).$$

These injections provide $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$ with the natural structure of free E_ℓ-modules of rank $\frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}$ and $\frac{2\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}$ respectively [14]. Clearly, the image of

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \subset \mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$$

in $\mathrm{Hom}\mathbf{Q}_\ell(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$ lies in $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\ell}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$; in fact, it is a free E_ℓ-submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\ell}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$ of rank $\dim_E(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$. The rank of the free E_ℓ-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\ell}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$ equals the product of the ranks of $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$, i.e. equals

$$\frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]} \cdot \frac{2\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}.$$

We conclude that

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \le \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]} \cdot \frac{2\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}.$$

Clearly, the equality holds if and only if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\ell}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y)) = \mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell.$$

Suppose that the equality holds and assume, in addition, that $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$. Then the ranks of $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$ do coincide and there exists an isomorphism $u : V_\ell(X) \cong V_\ell(Y)$ of E_ℓ-modules. Since $\mathbf{Q}$ is everywhere dense in $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ in the ℓ-adic topology, there exists $u' \in \mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$ that is also an isomorphism between $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$. Replacing u' by Nu' for suitable positive integer N, we may assume that $u' \in \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)$. Then u' must be an isogeny. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that E is a number field, X and Y are abelian varieties of positive dimension over an algebraically closed field K_a,*

$$i : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X), \quad j : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(Y)$$

are embeddings that send 1 *to the identity automorphisms of* X *and* Y *respectively. Let us put*

$$r_X := \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}, \quad r_Y := \frac{2\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}.$$

Let us assume that

$$\dim_E \mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) = r_X \cdot r_Y.$$

Then both $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ *and* $\mathrm{End}^0(Y,j)$ *are central simple* E*-algebras and*

$$\dim_E \mathrm{End}^0(X,i) = r_X^2, \quad \dim_E \mathrm{End}^0(Y,j) = r_Y^2.$$

In addition, both X *and* Y *are isogenous to self-products of a certain absolutely simple abelian variety* B *of CM-type.*

of Theorem 2.7. Clearly,

$$\dim(X^{r_Y}) = \frac{2\dim(X)\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]} = \dim(Y^{r_X}).$$

It follows from Remark 2.5 that

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X^{r_Y}, i^{(r_Y)}), (Y^{r_X}, j^{(r_X)})) = \frac{4\dim(X^{r_Y})\dim(Y^{r_X})}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}.$$

By Lemma 2.6 there exists an isogeny $\phi : X^{r_Y} \to Y^{r_X}$ that lies in

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X^{r_Y}, i^{(r_Y)}), (Y^{r_X}, j^{(r_X)}).$$

In addition, $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(Y,j)$ are central simple E-algebras and

$$\dim_E \mathrm{End}^0(X^{r_Y}, i^{(r_Y)}) = \left(\frac{2\dim(X^{r_Y})}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}\right)^2 = (r_X r_Y)^2.$$

Similarly,

$$\dim_E \mathrm{End}^0(Y^{r_X}, j^{(r_X)}) = (r_Y r_X)^2.$$

This implies the first claim.

Applying Theorem 2.3 to both (X,i) and $(Y,j))$, we conclude that there exist absolutely simple abelian varieties B and say, B' of CM-type such that X is isogenous to a self-product of B and Y is isogenous to a self-product of B'. Since $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \ne 0$, we conclude that $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) \ne 0$ and therefore $\mathrm{Hom}(B,B') \ne 0$. This implies that B and B' are isogenous and therefore Y is isogenous to a self-product of B. □

Suppose that X is defined over K and $i(\emptyset) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(X)$. Then we may view elements of $\emptyset$ as K-endomorphisms of X.

Let λ be a maximal ideal in $\emptyset$. We write $k(\lambda)$ for the corresponding (finite) residue field. Let us put

$$X_\lambda = X_{\lambda,i} := \{x \in X(K_a) \mid i(e)x = 0 \quad \forall e \in \lambda\}.$$

Clearly, if $\mathrm{char}((k)(\lambda)) = \ell$ then $\lambda \supset \ell \cdot \emptyset$ and therefore $X_\lambda \subset X_\ell$. Moreover, X_λ is a Galois submodule of X_ℓ and X_λ carries a natural structure of $\emptyset/\lambda = k(\lambda)$-vector space. It is known [14] that if $\ell \ne \mathrm{char}(K)$ then

$$\dim_{k(\lambda)} X_\lambda = \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}.$$

We write

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X} = \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X,K} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda) \cong \mathrm{GL}(d_{X,E}, k(\lambda))$$

for the corresponding (continuous) homomorphism defining the Galois action on X_λ. Let us put

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,i,X} := \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X}(\mathrm{Gal}(K)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ coincides with the Galois group of the field extension $K(X_\lambda)/K$ where $K(X_\lambda) = K(X_{\lambda,i})$ is the field of definition of all points in X_λ.

In order to describe $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X,K}$ explicitly, let us assume for the sake of simplicity that λ is the only maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ dividing ℓ, i.e., $\ell \cdot \mathcal{O} = \lambda^b$ where the positive integer b satisfies $[E : \mathbf{Q}] = b \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{F}_\ell} k(\lambda)$. Then $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ where $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to λ-adic topology. Let us choose an element $c \in \lambda$ that does not lie in λ^2. One may easily check [28, §3] that

$$X_\lambda = \{x \in X_\ell \mid cx = 0\} \subset X_\ell.$$

Let $T_\ell(X)$ be the $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-Tate module of X. Recall that $T_\ell(X)$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-module of rank $2\dim(X)$ provided with the continuous action

$$\rho_{\ell,X} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X))$$

and the natural embedding

$$\mathrm{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X)),$$

whose image commutes with $\rho_{\ell,X}(\mathrm{Gal}(K))$. In particular, $T_\ell(X)$ carries the natural structure of $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$-module; it is known [14] that the $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$-module $T_\ell(X)$ is free of rank $d_{X,E}$. There is also the natural isomorphism of Galois modules

$$X_\ell = T_\ell(X)/\ell T_\ell(X),$$

which is also an isomorphism of $\mathrm{End}_K(X) \supset \mathcal{O}$-modules. One may easily check [28, §3] that the $\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Gal}(K)]$-module

$$X_\lambda = T_\ell(X)/(\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda)T_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} k(\lambda).$$

Remark 2.8. *Let σ be an automorphism of E. Clearly, $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ and $\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda$ (since λ is the only maximal ideal dividing ℓ). However, σ may induce a non-trivial automorphism of $k(\lambda)$ (if $k(\lambda) \neq \mathbf{F}_\ell$). Let us consider the composition*

$$t := i\sigma : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K^0(X).$$

Clearly, $t(\mathcal{O}) = i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(X)$. It is also clear that

$$X_\lambda = X_{\lambda,i} = X_{\lambda,t},\ K(X_\lambda) = K(X_{\lambda,i}) = K(X_{\lambda,t}),\ \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,i,X} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,t,X}.$$

However, the structure of the $k(\lambda)$-vector space on $X_{\lambda,t}$ is the twist via σ of the structure of the $k(\lambda)$-vector space on $X_{\lambda,i}$. This means that multiplication by any $a \in k(\lambda)$ in $X_{\lambda,t}$ coincides with multiplication by $\sigma(a)$ in $X_{\lambda,i}$. However, this twist does not change the algebra of linear operators, i.e.

$$\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda,i}) = \mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda,t}),\ \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda,i}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda,t}).$$

This implies that the centralizers of $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda,i})$ and $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$ do coincide. In particular, if the centralizer $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_\lambda)$ is $k(\lambda)$ (resp. a field) then the centralizer $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_{\lambda,i})$ is also $k(\lambda)$ (resp. a field).

Remark 2.9. *Suppose that L is an overfield of K and K_a is the algebraic closure of K in L_a. Then one may view X as an abelian variety over L and $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}_L(X)$. The base change (from K to L) does not change the groups X_n and X_λ. One may easily check that $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X,L} : \mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$ coincides with the composition of $\mathrm{res}(L,K) : \mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Gal}(K)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X,K} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$.*

3. Disjoint abelian varieties

Throughout this Section E is a number field with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ and λ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}$, whose residue field $k(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}/\lambda$ has characteristic ℓ. We assume that λ is the only maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ dividing ℓ. Let K a field of characteristic different from ℓ. Let X and Y are abelian varieties of positive dimension over K provided with embeddings

$$i : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0_K(X) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(X), \quad j : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0_K(Y) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(Y)$$

such that

$$1_X = i(1) \in i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(X),\ 1_Y = j(1) \in j(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(Y).$$

Let us consider the $k(\lambda)$-vector space

$$S(X,Y)_\lambda := \mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda)$$

provided with the natural structure of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-module. Let

$$A(X,Y,\lambda,K) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda)$$

be the centralizer of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ in $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda)$. Clearly, $A(X,Y,\lambda,K)$ is a finite-dimensional $k(\lambda)$-algebra containing the scalars $k(\lambda)$.

Remark 3.1. *Suppose that L is an overfield of K and K_a is the algebraic closure of K in L_a. Let us consider X and Y as abelian varieties over L. It follows from Remark 2.9 that $\mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda)$ coincides with the composition of $\mathrm{res}(L,K) : \mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Gal}(K)$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda)$. In particular, the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(L) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda)$ lies in the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda)$. It follows that*

$$A(X,Y,\lambda,K) \subset A(X,Y,\lambda,L) \subset \mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(S(X,Y)_\lambda).$$

Clearly, if $A(X,Y,\lambda,L)$ is a field then its every $k(\lambda)$-subalgebra is also a field, because $A(X,Y,\lambda,L)$ is finite-dimensional; in particular, $A(X,Y,\lambda,K)$ is also a field.

Definition 3.2. *(X,i) and (Y,j) are disjoint at λ over K if $A(X,Y,\lambda,K)$ is a field.*

Remark 3.3. *It follows from Remark 3.1 that if (X,i) and (Y,j) are disjoint at λ over $L \supset K$ then they are also disjoint over K.*

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *The field extensions $K(X_\lambda)$ and $K(Y_\lambda)$ are linearly disjoint over K.*
- (ii) *Consider the centralizer $k_1 := \mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_\lambda)$ of $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$ and the centralizer $k_2 := \mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,Y}}(Y_\lambda)$ of $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,Y}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(Y_\lambda)$. Then the $k(\lambda)$-algebras k_1 and k_2 are fields that are linearly disjoint over $k(\lambda)$.*

Then (X,i) and (Y,j) are disjoint at λ over K.

Theorem 3.5. *If (X,i) and (Y,j) are disjoint at λ over K then one of the following two conditions holds:*

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))=0$.

(ii) *Both X and Y are isogenous over K_a to self-products of a certain absolutely simple abelian variety B of CM-type; in addition,* $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ *is a* r_X^2*-dimensional central simple E-algebra and* $\mathrm{End}^0(Y,i)$ *is a* r_Y^2*-dimensional central simple E-algebra.*

We will prove Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 in §6. We will deduce Theorem 1.1 from the following statement.

Corollary 3.6. *We keep all notations and assumptions of Theorem 3.4. Assume in addition that E is normal over $\mathbf{Q}$. Then one of the following two conditions holds:*

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)=0, \mathrm{Hom}(Y,X)=0$.

(ii) *Both X and Y are isogenous over K_a to self-products of a certain absolutely simple abelian variety B of CM-type; in addition,* $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ *is a* r_X^2*-dimensional central simple E-algebra and* $\mathrm{End}^0(Y,i)$ *is a* r_Y^2*-dimensional central simple E-algebra.*

of Corollary 3.6. Applying Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 to $(X,i\sigma),(Y,j)$ for all $\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbf{Q})$, we conclude that either the assertion (ii) holds (and we are done) or all

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i\sigma),(Y,j))=0.$$

In the latter case, it follows from Remark 2.1 that $\mathrm{Hom}^0(X,Y)=0$ and therefore $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)=0$, which, in turn, implies that $\mathrm{Hom}(Y,X)=0$. □

4. Proof of Main Theorem

Throughout this section ℓ is an odd prime, K a field of characteristic different from ℓ and K_a its algebraic closure,

$$E:=\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)\supset \text{Ø} := \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell]\supset\lambda:=(1-\zeta_\ell)\cdot\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell], k(\lambda)=\mathbf{F}_\ell.$$

Clearly, $[E:\mathbf{Q}]=\ell-1$.

Let $f(x)\in K[x]$ be a separable polynomial of degree $n\geq 4$.

Let $\mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{R}_f=\{a_1,\dots,a_n\}\subset K_a$ be the set of all roots of f. We may view the full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_n$ as the group of all permutations of $\mathfrak{R}$. The Galois group $G=\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ of f permutes the roots and therefore becomes a subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_n$. The action of G on $\mathfrak{R}$ defines the standard *permutational* representation in the n-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_\ell$-vector space $\mathbf{F}_p^{\mathfrak{R}}$ of all functions $\psi:\mathfrak{R}\to\mathbf{F}_\ell$. This representation is not irreducible. Indeed, the "line" of constant functions $\mathbf{F}_\ell\cdot 1$ and the hyperplane $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^0:=\{\psi\mid\sum_{i=1}^n\psi(a_i)=0\}$ are G-invariant subspaces in $\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}}$.

Then we define the *heart* $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}$ of the permutational action of $G=\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ on $\mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{R}_f$ over $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ as follows ([10], [23]). If n is not divisible by ℓ then we put

$$(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}=(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^0:=(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^0.$$

If n is divisible by ℓ then $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^0$ contains $\mathbf{F}_\ell\cdot 1$ and we obtain the natural representation of $G=\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ in the $(n-2)$-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_\ell$-vector quotient-space $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^0/(\mathbf{F}_\ell\cdot 1)$. In this case we put

$$(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}=(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^{00}:=(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^0/(\mathbf{F}_p\cdot 1).$$

In both cases it is known that the $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$-module $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}$ is *faithful* (recall that $n\geq 4$ and $\ell>2$).

Remark 4.1. *It is known* [8, Satz 4a] *(see also* [23, Lemma 2.4]*) that if either* $n = \deg(f)$ *is not divisible by* ℓ *and* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *is doubly transitive or* n *is divisible by* ℓ *and* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *is* 3*-transitive then the centralizer* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(f)}((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell$. *(Conversely, one may easily check* [8, Satz 4a] *that if* n *is not divisible by* ℓ *and* $H \subset \mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R})$ *is a permutation group with* $\mathrm{End}_H((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R})})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell$ *then* H *is doubly transitive.)*

Remark 4.2. *Let us assume that* K *contains a primitive* ℓ*th root of unity* ζ. *Then the map*

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x, \zeta y)$$

gives rise to a birational periodic automorphism δ_ℓ *of* $C_{f,\ell}$ *with exact period* ℓ. *By functoriality,* δ_ℓ *induces an automorphism of* $J(C_{f,\ell})$ *which we still denote by* δ_ℓ. *It is known* [13, 15] *(see also* [28]*) that* δ_ℓ *satisfies the* ℓ*th cyclotomic equation in* $\mathrm{End}_K(J(C_{f,\ell}))$. *This gives rise to the embeddings*

$$i_f : \mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell] \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(J(C_{f,\ell})),\ E = \mathbf{Q}[\zeta_\ell] \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K^0(J(C_{f,\ell}))$$

with $i_f(1) = 1_{J(C_{f,\ell})}$ *and* $i_f(\zeta_\ell) = \delta_\ell$.

Notice that $\lambda = (1-\zeta_\ell)\cdot \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell]$ *is the only maximal ideal dividing* ℓ *in* $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_\ell]$ *and the corresponding residue field* $k(\lambda) = \mathbf{F}_\ell$. *The finite Galois module* $J(C_{f,\ell})_\lambda$ *admits the following description. The canonical surjection* $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *defines on the* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$*-module* $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}$ *the natural structure of* $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$*-module. Then the* $\mathbf{F}_\ell[\mathrm{Gal}(K)]$*-modules* $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}$ *and* $J(C_{f,\ell})_\lambda$ *are canonically isomorphic* [13],[15]. *In particular, this implies that*

$$K(J(C_{f,\ell})_\lambda) = K(\mathfrak{R}_f),$$

(recall that the the $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$*-module* $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}$ *is faithful).*

Theorem 1.1 now clearly is an immediate corollary of Remark 4.1 and the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that* K *is a field of characteristic different from* ℓ *that contains a primitive* ℓ*th root of unity. Let* $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ *be separable polynomials of degree* $n \geq 4$ *and* $m \geq 4$ *respectively. Suppose that the splitting fields of* f *and* h *are linearly disjoint over* K. *Suppose that*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(f)}((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell,\ \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(h)}((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_h})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell.$$

Then one the two following conditions hold:

- (i) $\mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{f,\ell}), J(C_{h,\ell})) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{h,\ell}), J(C_{f,\ell})) = 0$.
- (ii) $p := \mathrm{char}(K) > 0$ *and there exists an absolutely simple abelian variety* Z *defined over an algebraic closure* $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ *of* $\mathbf{F}_p$ *such that both* $J(C_{f,\ell})$ *and* $J(C_{h,\ell})$ *are abelian varieties of CM-type isogenous over* K_a *to self-products of* Z. *In addition, the centralizer of* $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell] \cong \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ *in* $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,\ell}))$ *is a central simple* $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$*-algebra of dimension* $\left(\frac{2\dim(J(C_{f,\ell}))}{\ell-1}\right)^2$ *and the centralizer of* $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell] \cong \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ *in* $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{h,\ell}))$ *is a central simple* $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$*-algebra of dimension* $\left(\frac{2\dim(J(C_{h,\ell}))}{\ell-1}\right)^2$.

of Theorem 4.3. By the assumption and Remark 4.2, $K(J(C_{f,\ell)})_\lambda)$ and $K(J(C_{h,\ell)})_\lambda)$ are linearly disjoint over K.

Applying Corollary 3.6 (with $k_1 = \mathbf{F}_\ell = k_2,\ X = J(C_{f,\ell}), Y = J(C_{h,\ell})$), we conclude that either

$$\mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{f,\ell}), J(C_{h,\ell})) = 0,\ \mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{h,\ell}), J(C_{f,\ell})) = 0$$

(i.e. the case (i) holds) or both $J(C_{f,\ell})$ and $J(C_{h,\ell})$ are isogenous over K_a to self-products of a certain absolutely simple abelian variety B of CM-type; in addition, the centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,\ell}))$ is a $\left(\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f,\ell}))}{\ell-1}\right)^2$-dimensional central simple $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$-algebra. By [23, Theorem 3.6], the last property cannot take place in characteristic zero and therefore $p := \mathrm{char}(K_a) = \mathrm{char}(K) > 0$. In order to check that the case (ii) holds, one has only to recall that in characteristic p every absolutely simple abelian variety of CM-type is isogenous to an abelian variety over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ (a theorem of Grothendieck [12]). By the same token, we get the desired results for $J(C_{h,\ell})$. □

Remark 4.4. *Theorem 4.3 suggests that it may be interesting to classify subgroups* $G = \mathrm{Gal}(f) \subset \mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R})$ *such that* $n = \#(\mathfrak{R})$ *is divisible by* ℓ *and* $\mathrm{End}_G((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell$ *(or a field). According to* [8, Satz 11], *if* G *is transitive (i.e.* $f(x)$ *is irreducible) then such* G *must be doubly transitive (if* $n \ge 4$ *and* ℓ *is odd). The (almost) complete classification of known doubly transitive* G *with (absolutely) irreducible* $(\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}})^{00}$ *is given in* [10] *(see also* [7]*). Of course, in the irreducible case the centralizer is a field (and even* $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ *in the absolutely irreducible case).*

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that* K *is a field of prime characteristic different from* ℓ *that contains a primitive* ℓ*th root of unity. Let* $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ *be separable polynomials of degree* $n \ge 9$ *and* $m \ge 4$ *respectively. Suppose that the splitting fields of* f *and* h *are linearly disjoint over* K*. Suppose that* ℓ *divides* n *and* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *coincides either with full symmetric group* $\mathbf{S}_n$ *or with the alternating group* $\mathbf{A}_n$*. Suppose that*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(h)}((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_h})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell$$

(e.g., ℓ *does not divide* m *and* $\mathrm{Gal}(h)$ *is doubly transitive.) Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{f,\ell}), J(C_{h,\ell})) = 0, \quad \mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{h,\ell}), J(C_{f,\ell})) = 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is 3-transitive and, thanks to Remark 4.1,

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(f)}((\mathbf{F}_\ell^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^{00}) = \mathbf{F}_\ell.$$

Therefore we may apply Theorem 4.3. Assume that the assertion (ii) holds true. In particular, the centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell] \cong \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,\ell}))$ is a central simple $\left(\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f,\ell}))}{\ell-1}\right)^2$-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$-algebra. Recall that $r := \frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f,\ell}))}{\ell-1} = n-1$ or $n-2$; in both cases we have $r > 1$ and therefore the r^2-dimensional centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell]$ contains an overfield $E' \supset \mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell]$ that does *not* coincide with $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell]$. However, it follows from Theorem 0.1 of [25] that $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_\ell]$ is a maximal commutative $\mathbf{Q}$-subalgebra in $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,\ell}))$. This gives us a desired contradiction. □

5. Representation theory

This Section contains auxiliary results that will be used in Section 6.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* F *be a field. Let* H_1 *and* H_2 *be groups. Let* $\tau_i : H_i \to \mathrm{Aut}_F(W_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ *be linear finite-dimensional representation of* H_i *over* F *and* $F_i := \mathrm{End}_{H_i}(W_i)$*. Let* $W_1^* = \mathrm{Hom}_F(W_1, F)$ *be the dual of* W_1 *and* $\tau_1^* : H_1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_F(W_1^*)$

the dual of τ_1. Let us assume that the F-algebras F_1 and F_2 are fields that are linearly disjoint over F.

Let us consider the natural linear representation

$$\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_2 : H_1 \times H_2 \to \mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathrm{Hom}_F(W_1, W_2))$$

of the group $H := H_1 \times H_2$ in the F-vector space $S := \mathrm{Hom}_F(W_1, W_2)$. Then $\mathrm{End}_H(S)$ is a field.

Proof. One may easily check that the centralizer of H_1 in $\mathrm{End}_F(W_1^*)$ still coincides with F_1. Let A_1 be the F-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_F(W_1^*)$ generated by $\tau_1^*(H_1)$; clearly, the centralizer of A_1 in $\mathrm{End}_F(W_1^*)$ also coincides with F_1. Similarly, if A_2 is the F-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_F(W_2)$ generated by $\tau_2(H_2)$ then the centralizer of A_2 in $\mathrm{End}_F(W_2)$ coincides with F_2. Clearly, the F-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_F(W_1^* \otimes_F W_2)$ generated by $\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_2(H_1 \times H_2)$ coincides with

$$A_1 \otimes_F A_2 \subset \mathrm{End}_F(W_1^*) \otimes_F \mathrm{End}_F(W_2) = \mathrm{End}_F(W_1^* \otimes_F W_2).$$

It follows from Lemma (10.37) on p. 252 of [2] that the centralizer of $A_1 \otimes_F A_2$ in $\mathrm{End}_F(W_1^* \otimes_F W_2)$ coincides with $F_1 \otimes_F F_2$ and therefore is a field, thanks to the linear disjointness of F_1 and F_2 . This implies that the centralizer of $H_1 \times H_2$ in $\mathrm{End}_F(W_1^* \otimes_F W_2)$ is the field $F_1 \otimes_F F_2$. Since the H-modules $W_1^* \otimes_F W_2$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_F(W_1, W_2)$ are canonically isomorphic, the centralizer of H in $\mathrm{End}_F(\mathrm{Hom}_F(W_1, W_2))$ is also a field. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let L be a complete discrete valuation field with discrete valuation ring $\emptyset_L$, its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k = \emptyset_L/\mathfrak{m}$. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over L, $\tau : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_L(V)$ a completely reducible linear representation of a group G in V. Let T be a G-stable $\emptyset_L$-lattice in V. Consider the finite-dimensional k-vector space $\bar{V} = T \otimes_{\emptyset_L} k$ provided with a natural linear representation $\bar{\tau} : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(\bar{V})$ that is the reduction of τ modulo $\mathfrak{m}$. If the centralizer of G in $\mathrm{End}_k(\bar{V})$ is a field then τ is irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that τ is not irreducible. Since it is completely reducible, there exist non-zero $u_1, u_2 \in \mathrm{End}_G(V)$ with $u_1 u_2 = 0$. Multiplying (if necessary) both u_1, u_2 by suitable powers of an uniformizer, we may assume that $u_1(T) \subset T, u_2(T) \subset T$ but neither u_1 nor u_2 lies in $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathrm{End}_{\emptyset_L}(T)$. It follows that the images $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2$ of u_1 and u_2 with respect to the reduction map $\mathrm{End}_{\emptyset_L}(T) \to \mathrm{End}_k(\bar{V})$ satisfy

$$\bar{u}_1 \neq 0, \bar{u}_2 \neq 0, \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2 = 0.$$

Since both $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2$ obviously lie in the centralizer of G in $\mathrm{End}_k(\bar{V})$, we get a contradiction. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field Q of characteristic zero, G a group, $\tau : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_Q(V)$ a completely reducible Q-linear representation in V. Let L be an overfield of Q and $i : L \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_Q(V)$ is an embedding of Q-algebras that sends 1 to the identity automorphism of V. Suppose that the image $i(L)$ commutes with G. Then the natural L-linear representation of G in V is also completely reducible.*

Proof. Let $A \subset \mathrm{End}_Q(V)$ be the image of the natural Q-algebra homomorphism $Q[G] \to \mathrm{End}_Q(V)$. The complete reducibility of τ means that A is a (finite-dimensional) semisimple Q-algebra. Therefore $A_L := A \otimes_Q L$ is a semisimple

L-algebra. Clearly, $A \subset \mathrm{End}_L(V)$. This implies that the image of the natural L-algebra homomorphism

$$L[G] \to \mathrm{End}_L(V) \subset \mathrm{End}_Q(V)$$

is isomorphic to a quotient of A_L and therefore is also a semisimple L-algebra. But this means that the natural L-linear representation of G in V is also completely reducible. □

6. Homomorphisms of abelian varieties: proofs

of Theorem 3.4. We need to prove that the centralizer $A(X, Y, \lambda, K) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}(S(X, Y)_\lambda) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda))$ of the natural representation

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda))$$

is a field. Denote this representation by τ and let us put

$$F = k(\lambda), H_1 = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}, W_1 = X_\lambda, H_2 = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,Y}, W_2 = Y_\lambda.$$

Denote by

$$\tau_1 : H_1 = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X} \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda) = \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(W_1)$$

and

$$\tau_2 : H_2 = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,Y} \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(Y_\lambda) = \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(W_2)$$

the corresponding inclusion maps.

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that the centralizer of the linear representation

$$\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_2 : \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda)/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y_\lambda)/K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda))$$

is a field.

One may easily check that τ, which defines the structure of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-module on $\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\ell, Y_\ell)$, coincides with the composition of the natural surjection $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda)/K)$, the natural embedding

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda)/K) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda)/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y_\lambda)/K)$$

and

$$\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_2 : \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda)/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y_\lambda)/K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda)).$$

Here $K(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda)$ is the compositum of the fields $K(X_\lambda)$ and $K(Y_\lambda)$. The linear disjointness of $K(X_\lambda)$ and $K(Y_\lambda)$ means that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda)/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda)/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y_\lambda)/K).$$

This implies that τ is the composition of the *surjection*

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda)/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y_\lambda)/K)$$

and $\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_2$. Since the centralizer of the representation

$$\tau_1^* \otimes \tau_2 : \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y_\lambda)/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_\lambda)/K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda))$$

is a field, the centralizer of the representation

$$\tau : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda))$$

is the same field. □

of Theorem 3.5. First, we need an additional information about the Tate modules $T_\ell(X)$ and $T_\ell(Y)$ of abelian varieties X and Y [11]. Recall that $T_\ell(X)$ and $T_\ell(Y)$ are free $\O_\lambda$-modules provided with the continuous actions of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ and one may view may view $\tilde\rho_{\ell,X} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda}(X_\lambda)$ as the reduction of $\rho_{\ell,X} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\O_\lambda}(T_\ell(X))$ modulo λ and $\tilde\rho_{\lambda,Y} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda}(Y_\lambda)$ as the reduction of $\rho_{\ell,Y} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\O_\lambda}(T_\ell(Y))$ modulo λ.

It is known [14] that the Tate $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-modules $V_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ and $V_\ell(Y) = T_\ell(Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ are $\O_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = E_\lambda$-vector spaces of dimension $\frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}$ and $\frac{2\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}$ respectively. (Here $E_\lambda = E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ is the completion of E with respect to the λ-adic topology.) The groups $T_\ell(X)$ and $T_\ell(Y)$ are naturally identified with the $\O_\lambda$-lattices in $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$ respectively and the inclusions

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\O_\lambda}(T_\ell(X)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X)), \quad \mathrm{Aut}_{\O_\lambda}(T_\ell(Y)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(Y))$$

allow us to consider $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$ as representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ over E_λ.

Our task now is to prove that the natural representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ in

$$V_1 := \mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(Y), V_\ell(X))$$

over E_λ is irreducible. For this, we may and will assume that K is finitely generated over its prime subfield (replacing K by a suitable subfield and using Remark 3.3). Then the conjecture of Tate [20] (proven by the author in characteristic > 2 [21, 22], Faltings in characteristic zero [4, 5] and Mori in characteristic 2 [9]) asserts that the natural representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ in $V_\ell(Z)$ over $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ is completely reducible for any abelian variety Z over K. In particular, the natural representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ in $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$ over $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ are completely reducible. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that the natural representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ in $V_\ell(X)$ and $V_\ell(Y)$ over E_λ are also completely reducible.

It follows easily that the dual Galois representation in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell(X), E_\lambda)$ is also completely reducible. Since E_λ has characteristic zero, it follows from a theorem of Chevalley [1, p. 88] that the Galois representation in the tensor product $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), E_\lambda) \otimes_{E_\lambda} V_\ell(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y)) = V_1$ is completely reducible.

Second, I claim that the natural representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ in V_1 over E_λ is irreducible. Indeed, the $\O_\lambda$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\O_\lambda}(T_\ell(X), T_\ell(Y))$ is a $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-invariant $\O_\lambda$-lattice in $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y)) = V_1$. On the other hand, the reduction of this lattice modulo λ coincides with

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\O_\lambda}(T_\ell(X), T_\ell(Y)) \otimes_{\O_\lambda} k(\lambda) = \mathrm{Hom}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda, Y_\lambda).$$

Now the desired irreducibility follows from Lemma 5.2.

Third, recall that there is a natural embedding [11, Sect. 19]

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0(X, Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y)),$$

whose image is a $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-invariant $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace. Clearly, the image of $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ under this embedding lies in $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$ and this image is a $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-invariant $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = E_\lambda$-vector subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$. The irreducibility of $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$ implies that either

$$\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = \mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda}(V_\ell(X), V_\ell(Y))$$

or $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j))$ is a E-vector space, either $\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)) = 0$ or $\dim_E(\mathrm{Hom}^0((X,i),(Y,j)))$ equals $\frac{4\cdot\dim(X)\cdot\dim(Y)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]^2}$.

In the first case we are done. In the second case the result follows from Theorem 2.7. □

References

[1] Chevalley C.: Théorie des groupes de Lie, tome **III**. Hermann, Paris, 1954.

[2] Curtis, Ch. W., Reiner, I. : Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. I. John Wiley & Sons, New York Chichester Brisbane Toronto, 1981.

[3] Dixon J. D. , Mortimer B. , Permutation Groups. Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.

[4] Faltings G.: Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Variaetäten über Zählkorpern. Invent. Math. **73**, 349–366 (1983).

[5] Faltings G.: Complements to Mordell. In: in: Faltings G. , Wustholz G. et al. (ed.) Rational points, Chapter VI. Third edition. Aspects of Mathematics, E6. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1992.

[6] Herstein, I. N.: Noncommutative rings. Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1968.

[7] Ivanov, A. A. , Praeger, Ch. E.: On finite affine 2-Arc transitive graphs. Europ. J. Combinatorics **14**, 421–444 (1993).

[8] Klemm, M.: Über die Reduktion von Permutationsmoduln. Math. Z. **143**, 113–117 (1975).

[9] Moret-Bailly, L.: Pinceaux de variétés abéliennes. Astérisque **129** (1985).

[10] Mortimer, B.: The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **41**, 1–20 (1980).

[11] Mumford, D.: Abelian varieties, Second edition. Oxford University Press, London, 1974.

[12] Oort, F.: The isogeny class of a CM-abelian variety is defined over a finite extension of the prime field. J. Pure Applied Algebra **3**, 399–408 (1973).

[13] Poonen, B., Schaefer, E.: Explicit descent for Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. J. reine angew. Math. **488**, 141–188 (1997).

[14] Ribet, K.: Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications. Amer. J. Math. **98**, 751–804 (1976).

[15] Schaefer, E.: Computing a Selmer group of a Jacobian using functions on the curve. Math. Ann. **310**, 447–471 (1998).

[16] Schur, I.: Gleichungen ohne Affect. Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Physik-Math. Klasse 443–449 (1930) (= Ges. Abh. III, 191–197).

[17] Serre, J.-P.: Lectures on the Mordell-Weil Theorem, 2nd edition, Friedr. Vieweg & Sons, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1990.

[18] Serre, J.-P.: Topics in Galois Theory, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston-London, 1992.

[19] Serre, J.-P.: Représentations lineáres des groupes finis, Troisiéme édition. Hermann, Paris, 1978.

[20] Tate, J. Endomorphisms of Abelian varieties over finite fields. Invent. Math. **2**, 134–144 (1966).

[21] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphisms of Abelian varieties over fields of finite characteristic. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR ser. matem. **39**, 272–277 (1975); Math. USSR Izv. **9**, 255 - 260 (1975).

[22] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Abelian varieties in characteristic P. Mat. Zametki **19**, 393–400 (1976); Mathematical Notes **19**, 240–244 (1976).

[23] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Cyclic covers, their Jacobians and endomorphisms. J. reine angew. Math. **544**, 91–110 (2002).

[24] Zarhin, Yu. G.: The endomorphism rings of Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136**, 257–267 (2004).

[25] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphism rings of certain Jacobians in finite characteristic. Matem. Sbornik **193**, issue 8, 39–48 (2002); Sbornik Math. **193** (8), 1139-1149 (2002).

[26] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic Jacobians. In: Number Theory, Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (Shafarevich Festschrift). Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **241**, 90–104 (2003); Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **241**, 79–92 (2003).

[27] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Homomorphisms of abelian varieties. In: Y. Aubry, G. Lachaud (ed.) Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory (AGCT 2003), Séminaires et Congrés **11**, 189–215 (2005).

[28] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphism algebras of superelliptic Jacobians. In: F. Bogomolov, Yu. Tschinkel (ed.) Geometric methods in Algebra and Number Theory, Progress in Math. **235**, 339–362, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin, 2005.

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: `zarhin@math.psu.edu`